PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

pplicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION 89547.0240		See item 4 below			
	International filing date (day/month/year) 31 January 2005 (31.01.2005)	Priority date (day/month/year) 29 January 2004 (29.01.2004)			
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237					
Applicant ESPEED, INC.					

1.	This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 <i>bis</i> .1(a).					
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 4 sheets, including this cover sheet.					
	In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.					
3.	This report contains indications relating to the following items:					
	Box No. I	Basis of the report				
	Box No. II	Priority				
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability				
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention				
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited				
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application				
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application				
4.	The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis .2).					

Date of issuance of this report 13 February 2007 (13.02.2007)

Dorothée Mülhausen

Authorized officer

e-mail: pt01.pct@wipo.int

Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70 Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the	TIONAL SEARC	HING AUTH	ORITY						
TO: SAMIR A. BHAVSAR BAKER BOTTS LLP 2001 ROSS AVENUE, SUITE 600 DALLAS, TX 75201			PCT WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY						
				(PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)					
				Date of mailing (day/month/year) 29 JAN 2007					
Applicant's or agent's file reference			FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below						
069547.0240				•					
	nal application No	ο.	International filing	_		Priority date (day/month/year)			
PCT/US05/03168 31 January 2005 (31.01 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classifica					29 January 2004 (29.01.2004)				
			or both national clas	ssiiicai	ion and IPC				
	G06Q 40/00(200 705/37)/.01)							
Applicant			······································						
ESPEED,	INC.								
1. This	opinion contains i	ndications rela	ating to the followin	ng item	ns:				
	Box No. I	Basis of the	oninion	-					
			ориноп			,			
	Box No. II Priority								
		Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability							
	Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention								
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement									
Box No. VI Certain documents cited									
	Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application								
Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application									
2. FURTHER ACTION									
If a d Intern Autho	emand for interna ational Prelimina ority other than th	ational prelim ry Examining is one to be t	g Authority ("IPEA he IPEA and the ch	A") ex hosen	cept that this does	be considered to be a written opinion of the not apply where the applicant chooses an a International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) red.			
If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.									
For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.									
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.									
Name and	mailing address of	fthe ICA/IIC	Data of a	omple	tion of this amining T	Authorized officer			
	mailing address of Mail Stop PCT, Attn			_	tion of this opinion				
Commissioner for Patents 26 October 200			6 (26.10.2006)	Narayanswamy Subramanian					
P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450					C Telephone No. 571-272-6751				

Facsimile No. (571) 273-3201
Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (April 2005)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/US05/03168

Box N	lo. I Basis of this opinion
	regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
\boxtimes	the international application in the language in which it was filed
	a translation of the international application into, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)).
2. With inven	regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed action, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
a.	type of material
	a sequence listing
	table(s) related to the sequence listing
b.	format of material
	on paper
	in electronic form
c.	time of filing/furnishing
	contained in the international application as filed.
	filed together with the international application in electronic form.
	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
	Introduction to any realization for the purposes of security
3.	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Additi	ional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. V) (April 2005)

International application No. PCT/US05/03168

Novelty (N) Claims 1-22 Claims NONE Inventive step (IS) Claims NONE Claims 1-22 Claims 1-22 NO Industrial applicability (IA) Claims 1-22 Claims NONE Claims 1-22 Claims NONE Claims NONE Claims NONE Claims NONE Claims NONE 2. Citations and explanations: Claims 1-22 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Arrott et al (WO 01/75752 A2). Claims 1-22, Arrott teaches a system for avoiding transaction costs associated with trading orders comprising a memory and a processor (See Figure 1). The memory stores an order identifier associated with a trading order and at ime threshold associated with the trading order (See Pages 7-8). The processor montres the length of time the trading order is active with a market center that is processing the trading order (See Pages 8-13). The processor further determines a timeout when the length of time the trading order is active with the market center equals or exceeds the time threshold (See Pages 8-13). The processor then communicates a cancel instruction for the trading order in response to determining the timeout (See Pages 8-13).	Box No.	V Reasoned statement applicability; citation	t under Rule 43 <i>b</i> ons and explanati	bis.1(a)(i) ions supp	with regard to	novelty, inve	ntive step or	industrial	l
Inventive step (IS) Claims NONE Claims 1-22 NO Industrial applicability (IA) Claims 1-22 Claims NONE Claims 1-22 YES Claims NONE VES NO Claims 1-22 YES Claims NONE NO 2. Citations and explanations: Claims 1-22 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Arrott et al (WO 01/75752 A2). Claims 1-22, Arrott teaches a system for avoiding transaction costs associated with trading orders comprising a memory and a processor (See Figure 1). The memory stores an order identifier associated with a trading order and a time threshold associated with trading order (See Pages 7-8). The processor monitors the length of time the trading order is active with a market center that is processing the trading order (See Pages 8-13). The processor further determines a timeout when the length of time the trading order is active with the market center equals or exceeds the time threshold (See Pages 8-13). The processor these center requirements are timeout when the length of time the trading order is active with the market center equals or exceeds the time threshold (See Pages 8-13). The processor these center requirements are timeout when the length of time the trading order is active with the market center equals or exceeds the time threshold (See Pages 8-13). The processor these center requirements are timeout when the length of time the trading order is active with the market center that is processor for the pages 8-13 is the processor the second the pages 8-13 is the pages 8-13	1. Statem								
Inventive step (IS) Claims NONE Claims NONE YES Claims 1-22 NO Industrial applicability (IA) Claims 1-22 Claims NONE Claims 1-22 YES Claims NONE NONE 2. Citations and explanations: Claims 1-22 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Arrott et al (WO 01/75752 A2). Claims 1-22 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Arrott et al (WO 01/75752 A2). Claims 1-22, Arrott teaches a system for avoiding transaction costs associated with trading orders comprising a memory and a processor (See Figure 1). The memory stores an order identifier associated with a trading order and a time threshold associated with the trading order (See Pages 7-8). The processor monitors the length of time the trading order is active with a market center that is processing the trading order (See Pages 8-13). The processor further determines a timeout when the length of time the trading order is active with the market center equals or exceeds the time threshold (See Pages 8-13). The processor these center that is processor these center that is processor.		Novelty (N)		Claims	1-22				VFS
Claims 1-22 NO Industrial applicability (IA) Claims 1-22 YES Claims NONE NO Claims 1-22 Arrott et al (WO 01/75752 A2). Claims 1-22 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Arrott et al (WO 01/75752 A2). Claims 1-22, Arrott teaches a system for avoiding transaction costs associated with trading orders comprising a memory and a processor (See Figure 1). The memory stores an order identifier associated with a trading order and a time threshold associated with the trading order (See Pages 7-8). The processor monitors the length of time the trading order is active with a market center that is processing the trading order (See Pages 8-13). The processor further determines a timeout when the length of time the trading order is active with the market center equals or exceeds the time threshold (See Pages 8-13). The processor there comprehenses a trading order is active with the market center equals or exceeds the time threshold (See Pages 8-13). The processor there comprehenses a trading order is active with the market center equals or exceeds the time threshold (See Pages 8-13). The processor there comprehenses a trading order is active with the market center equals or exceeds the time threshold (See Pages 8-13). The processor there exceeds the time threshold (See Pages 8-13). The processor there exceeds the time threshold (See Pages 8-13).									
Claims 1-22 NO Industrial applicability (IA) Claims 1-22 YES Claims NONE NO Claims 1-22 Arrott eaches a system for avoiding transaction costs associated with trading orders comprising a memory and a processor (See Figure 1). The memory stores an order identifier associated with a trading order and a time threshold associated with the trading order (See Pages 7-8). The processor monitors the length of time the trading order is active with a market center that is processing the trading order (See Pages 8-13). The processor further determines a timeout when the length of time the trading order is active with the market center equals or exceeds the time threshold (See Pages 8-13). The processor then comprehensive the comprehensive trading order is active with the market center equals or exceeds the time threshold (See Pages 8-13). The processor then comprehensive trading order is active with the market center equals or exceeds the time threshold (See Pages 8-13). The processor then comprehensive trading order is active with the market center equals or exceeds the time threshold (See Pages 8-13). The processor then comprehensive trading order is active with the market center equals or exceeds the time threshold (See Pages 8-13). The processor then comprehensive trading order is active with the market center equals or exceeds the time threshold (See Pages 8-13).		Inventive step (IS)		Claims	NONE				VEG
Claims NONE 2. Citations and explanations: Claims 1-22 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Arrott et al (WO 01/75752 A2). Claims 1-22, Arrott teaches a system for avoiding transaction costs associated with trading orders comprising a memory and a processor (See Figure 1). The memory stores an order identifier associated with a trading order and a time threshold associated with the trading order (See Pages 7-8). The processor monitors the length of time the trading order is active with a market center that is processing the trading order (See Pages 8-13). The processor further determines a timeout when the length of time the trading order is active with the market center equals or exceeds the time threshold (See Pages 8-13). The processor there are provided to the processor than a processor th		_							
Claims NONE 2. Citations and explanations: Claims 1-22 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Arrott et al (WO 01/75752 A2). Claims 1-22, Arrott teaches a system for avoiding transaction costs associated with trading orders comprising a memory and a processor (See Figure 1). The memory stores an order identifier associated with a trading order and a time threshold associated with the trading order (See Pages 7-8). The processor monitors the length of time the trading order is active with a market center that is processing the trading order (See Pages 8-13). The processor further determines a timeout when the length of time the trading order is active with the market center equals or exceeds the time threshold (See Pages 8-13). The processor there are provided to the processor than a processor th		Industrial applicability	(IA)	Claims	1-22				Y/E0
Claims 1-22 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Arrott et al (WO 01/75752 A2). Claims 1-22, Arrott teaches a system for avoiding transaction costs associated with trading orders comprising a memory and a processor (See Figure 1). The memory stores an order identifier associated with a trading order and a time threshold associated with the trading order (See Pages 7-8). The processor monitors the length of time the trading order is active with a market center that is processing the trading order (See Pages 8-13). The processor further determines a timeout when the length of time the trading order is active with the market center equals or exceeds the time threshold (See Pages 8-13). The processor then comprehense a restall		,							
Claims 1-22 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Arrott et al (WO 01/75752 A2). Claims 1-22, Arrott teaches a system for avoiding transaction costs associated with trading orders comprising a memory and a processor (See Figure 1). The memory stores an order identifier associated with a trading order and a time threshold associated with the trading order (See Pages 7-8). The processor monitors the length of time the trading order is active with a market center that is processing the trading order (See Pages 8-13). The processor further determines a timeout when the length of time the trading order is active with the market center equals or exceeds the time threshold (See Pages 8-13). The processor then companying a context of the	2. Citation	as and explanations:							~
	trading order processing t active with	er (See Pages 7-8). The pro- the trading order (See Page the market center equals or	cessor monitors the s 8-13). The process exceeds the time the	numer asso length of t sor further areshold (S	ciated with a tracime the trading of determines a time.	ling order and a order is active will eout when the le	time threshold tha market cer	associated v	vith the
				• 4	• (() •				<u></u>